

County of Los Angeles

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

713 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION • LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 (213) 974-1101

Board of Supervisors
GLORIA MOLINA
First District

YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE Second District

> ZEV YAROSLAVSKY Third District

> > DON KNABE

Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH Fifth District

July 17, 2001

Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich, Mayor

Supervisor Gloria Molina

Supervisor Yvonne Brathwaite Burke

Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky

 \leq

Supervisor Den Knabe

From:

To:

David E. Janssen

Chief Administrative Officer

FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Pursuit of County Position on Legislation

Legislation to provide for retroactive relief for successful complaints under the Federal Natural Gas Act: Pursuant to your Board's direction, the County is an intervenor and participant in a complaint proceeding before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) relating to allegations that El Paso Natural Gas Pipeline Company and its affiliate, El Paso Merchant Company, manipulated the market for natural gas over its interstate pipeline system. According to Southern California Edison, this market manipulation raised natural gas prices in Southern California by approximately \$3.7 billion dollars and contributed significantly to the high cost of electricity.

This complaint was filed under Section 5 of the Natural Gas Act which only provides for prospective relief if the Commission finds that there was a violation of the law. In the County's case, prospective relief is no relief since the harm has already occurred. What is needed is an amendment to the Natural Gas Act to make refunds retroactive to the date a successful complaint was filed, which is essentially how complaints about electricity charges are handled under the Federal Power Act.

Outside counsel has suggested, and we concur, that the County should sponsor an amendment to the Natural Gas Act to provide for retroactive refunds. The Internal Services Department's Energy Management Division indicates that in view of the fact that the County's natural gas costs have risen from \$30 million to \$77 million in the last fiscal year, we could be eligible for a substantial refund, if the law is amended. We anticipate that such an amendment would be supported by consumer advocates and utilities

How's YOUR Energy?

Each Supervisor July 17, 2001 Page 2

nationally, as well as in California. In addition, the State of California, including the California Public Utilities Commission, is likely to be supportive.

The proposed amendment is consistent with the Board's authorization of intervention in the El Paso complaint. Therefore, our Washington, D.C. advocates will be supporting the proposed amendment.

We will keep you advised.

DEJ:GK JR:md

c: Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors County Counsel All Department Heads